IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1. JAMES EASON, an individual, Plaintiff,

vs.

2. LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign corporation, and 3. LM GENERAL INSURANCE, COMPANY, a foreign corporation,

,

Case No.17-CV-104-CVE-TLW

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED ATTORNEY'S LIEN CLAIMED

Defendants.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, James Eason, for his claims of bad faith and breach of contract against Defendants, Liberty Mutual Insurance Company and LM General Insurance Company, alleges and states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Eason is a citizen and resident of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma at all relevant times. Defendants are foreign insurance companies incorporated in and with principal places of businesses in states other than Oklahoma. Defendants do business in and have minimum contacts Tulsa County and Oklahoma.
- 2. Eason entered into an insurance contract with Defendants providing liability, uninsured motorist, medical payment, collision and comprehensive coverage for Eason 's 2007 Dodge Magnum. Eason's insurance policy stated it was provided and underwritten by LM General Insurance Company, but required claims to

- be submitted to Liberty Mutual, and the Liberty Mutual logo was used on his policy.
- 3. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court because the acts and omissions alleged herein occurred in Tulsa County, Oklahoma.
- 4. Eason was struck from behind while stopped in his 2007 Dodge Magnum near 27th and Memorial in Tulsa, Oklahoma on March 11, 2016. Eason suffered bodily injury and damages to his 2007 Dodge Magnum in the accident.
- 5. On May 28, 2016, Eason was in a replacement truck stopped near the intersection of 4th and Sheridan as Rachel Carr led the Tulsa Police Department on a high-speed car chase in a stolen car.
- 6. Carr suddenly veered across the center line and careened into oncoming traffic.
- 7. The force of the resultant impact mangled Eason's body and truck.
- 8. Eason submitted claims for his injuries and property damage to Defendants, which failed to respond.
- 9. Defendants were required to pay Eason per the insurance policy.
- 10. Defendants' refusal to pay Eason was unreasonable under the circumstances, because Defendants failed to perform a reasonable investigation and had no reasonable basis for their refusal.
- Defendants breached their duty of good faith and fair dealing by refusing to pay
 Eason.
- 12. Eason was injured as a result of Defendants' breach of their duties.
- 13. Defendants conduct warrants an award of punitive damages because

Defendants intentionally, recklessly and with actual malice disregarded their

duty to deal fairly and act in good faith Eason.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants for actual and

punitive damages in excess of \$75,000.00, inclusive of costs of this action, interest at

the statutorily proscribed rate, a reasonable attorney fee and all other relief this Court

deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAUFFER & NATHAN, P.C.

s/ Jody R. Nathan

Timothy P. Clancy, OBA No.: 14199

Jody R. Nathan, OBA No.: 11685

P.O. Box 702860

Tulsa, OK 74170

(918) 592-7070 Telephone

(918) 592-7071 Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 28, 2017, I electronically transmitted Plaintiff's Amended Complaint to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

William W. O'Connor Margo E. Shipley

s/ Jody R. Nathan